

Philosophy 0300, Introduction to Ethics, Fall 1996

Professor: David Gauthier

Recitation Leader: Ben Eggleston

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

First Quiz — Tuesday, September 17, 1996

1. What are a few of the main ideas of the conception of justice argued for by Thrasymachus and, subsequently, by Glaucon and Adeimantus, and which are the main topic of conversation in books II through IV of the *Republic*?
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
2.
  - (a) Socrates claims that if the three classes in a city are in harmony, then the city will be just. What are these three classes?
  
  
  
  
  
  - (b) Socrates claims that if the three parts of a person's soul are in harmony, then the person will be just. What are these three parts? (List them in the order in which they correspond to the three classes in a city.)
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
3. How does Hobbes characterize the commonwealth in his introduction to *Leviathan*? (Hint: The answer is not that he characterizes it as a great monster, though he is, of course, alluding to that meaning of the term "leviathan" in the Book of Job.)

University of Pittsburgh, Fall 1996  
Philosophy 0300, Introduction to Ethics  
Professor: David Gauthier  
Recitation Leader: Ben Eggleston

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Second Quiz  
Thursday, September 17, 1996

1. Hobbes argues in chapter 13 of *Leviathan* that the rational thing for a person to do in the state of nature—i.e., in the absence of a sovereign to make laws, to enforce covenants, etc.—is to try to take advantage of others rather than to cooperate with them. What, according to Hobbes, is the logic behind this strategy?
2. In chapter 15, Hobbes provides his “definition of INJUSTICE” and adds that “whatsoever is not unjust, is *just*.” What is Hobbes’s “definition of INJUSTICE”?
3. Hobbes describes a “fool” who says that it cannot be against reason to act unjustly when so acting is in one’s self-interest. What is Hobbes’s reply to this objection?

University of Pittsburgh, Fall 1996  
Philosophy 0300, Introduction to Ethics  
Professor: David Gauthier  
Recitation Leader: Ben Eggleston

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Second Quiz

Tuesday, October 1, 1996

1. In chapter 15, Hobbes provides his “definition of INJUSTICE” and adds that “whatsoever is not unjust, is *just*.” What is Hobbes’s “definition of INJUSTICE”?
2. Hobbes describes a “fool” who says that it cannot be against reason to act unjustly when so acting is in one’s self-interest. What is Hobbes’s reply to this objection?
3. Kant distinguishes (a) acting *from* duty from (b) acting *in accordance with* duty. What’s the difference? (Feel free to use an example in your answer if it will help.)



University of Pittsburgh, Fall 1996  
Philosophy 0300, Introduction to Ethics  
Professor: David Gauthier  
Recitation Leader: Ben Eggleston

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Third Quiz  
Tuesday, November 5, 1996

1. What are the two principles of Rawls's conception of justice?
2. What's the difference between straightforward maximization and constrained maximization? How does Gauthier justify his claim that it's more rational to be a constrained maximizer than to be a straightforward maximizer?
3. Rawls argues that the parties in an initial choice situation of the sort that Gauthier defends would be situated unfairly. How does Rawls set up his "original position" so that it differs from Gauthier's "presocial circumstances" enough to make it an initial choice situation that he deems to be fair?

University of Pittsburgh, Fall 1996  
Philosophy 0300, Introduction to Ethics  
Professor: David Gauthier  
Recitation Leader: Ben Eggleston

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Fourth Quiz  
Thursday, November 7, 1996

1. What are the two principles of Rawls's conception of justice?
2. What's the difference between straightforward maximization and constrained maximization? How does Gauthier justify his claim that it's more rational to be a constrained maximizer than to be a straightforward maximizer?
3. Rawls argues that the parties in an initial choice situation of the sort that Gauthier defends would be situated unfairly. How does Rawls set up his "original position" so that it differs from Gauthier's "presocial circumstances" enough to make it an initial choice situation that he deems to be fair?

University of Pittsburgh, Fall 1996  
Philosophy 0300, Introduction to Ethics  
Professor: David Gauthier  
Recitation Leader: Ben Eggleston

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Fourth Quiz  
Tuesday, November 19, 1996

1. According to Nietzsche, what are the values associated with good (as contrasted with evil); and what are the values associated with evil?
2. According to Nietzsche, how has humanity been improved by its experience with the framework of good vs. evil?
3. On what basis does Ayer argue that ethical statements (or, rather, pseudo-statements, according to him) are, strictly speaking, meaningless?

University of Pittsburgh, Fall 1996  
Philosophy 0300, Introduction to Ethics  
Professor: David Gauthier  
Recitation Leader: Ben Eggleston

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Fifth Quiz  
Thursday, November 21, 1996

1. According to Nietzsche, what are the values associated with good (as contrasted with evil); and what are the values associated with evil?
2. According to Nietzsche, how has humanity been improved by its experience with the framework of good vs. evil?
3. On what basis does Ayer argue that ethical statements (or, rather, pseudo-statements, according to him) are, strictly speaking, meaningless?

University of Pittsburgh, Fall 1996  
Philosophy 0300, Introduction to Ethics  
Professor: David Gauthier  
Recitation Leader: Ben Eggleston

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Fifth Quiz  
Tuesday, November 26, 1996

1. Ayer's theory of ethical language is called emotivism. What does emotivism say is (or are) the function(s) of ethical language?
2. According to Nagel, what is the significance of resenting something done to oneself, as opposed to merely not liking it?
3. Pick one of the persons whose consciences Bennett discusses and summarize what he says about that person's conscience.